

Western Standard

TO CORRECT MIS-REPRESENTATION WE ADOPT SELF-REPRESENTATION.

VOLUME 23

SAN FRANCISCO, FRIDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1857.

NUMBER 29.

Poetry.

[FOR THE WESTERN STANDARD.]

Live! Live!

BY W. G. MILLS.

MAN was made to work and strive,
Think and act and persevere,
Keep his energies alive,
To o'ercome all trials here.

Not when fall'n to whine and lie
In distress, and long to die,
But when down to rise again—
Feel to live, not live in vain.

If misfortunes bear you down
By misconduct of your own;
Live! and nobly try to rise,
For the future be more wise.

If misfortunes that you bear,
Through the faults of others are;
Live! and proudly battle such,
You cannot yourself reproach.

If your character and name
Stand true, envious tongues defame;
Live the moral and faithful prove,
Time th' aspersion will remove.

If there dwell around your path
Enemies of spite and wrath;
Live! and work with energy,
Frustrate their malignity.

In the circles that you move
Have you faithful friends you love?
Live! to comfort and to bless
Them in fortune and distress.

If within your soul there be
Hopes of immortality,
Live to God! and so prepare
To enjoy the blessings there.

MAN was made to work and strive,
Think, and act, and persevere;
Keep his energies alive
To o'ercome all trials here.

G. S. L. City, Utah.

The Laws of Massachusetts 120 years ago.

We have been a good deal interested in the perusal of an ancient volume containing the temporary laws of the Province of Massachusetts Bay, from the year 1736 to 1753, printed and sold by Samuel Kneeland and Timothy Green, of Boston. Law making at that period was not the laborious operation of the present day, as often in a few pages is comprised the work of a whole session. Acts were passed to encourage the killing of wolves and wild cats; to exempt Quakers from being taxed towards the support of ministers; and to more effectually secure the duty upon the importation of negroes. This latter act, in the preamble, alleges that whereas the payment of the duty of four pounds per head laid upon negroes imported into the Province, is often evaded by bringing them in in a clandestine manner, henceforth any ship master bringing them is required to give a true account, under the penalty of one hundred pounds. "And," the act continues, "whereas negroes are frequently landed in the neighboring provinces or colonies, and brought thence into this province, in order to save the duty," it is enacted that any person so bringing in negroes shall pay four pounds per head duty, or if he neglects to pay, shall, on conviction, be fined eight pounds. In case the negro died within six months from the time of importation, the duty was to be paid to the owner.

In 1741, an act was passed to retrench the extraordinary expenses at funerals. The preamble sets forth that whereas, "The giving of scarves, gloves, wine, rum, and rings at funerals, is a great and unnecessary expense, tending to the impoverishment of many families," it is enacted that only six pairs of gloves to the bearers and one to the minister should be given, and no rings, wine, or rum should be provided, under penalty of fifty pounds.

An act was passed in 1742, granting to Thomas Symmes and Grace Parker, of Charlestown, the sole privilege of making stone ware for the term of fifteen years.

In 1744, the Supreme Court was so overrun with business that an act was passed authorizing

ing the Governor to appoint a commission for the trial of a murder case in Nantucket. The pay of a member of the Legislature was fixed at three shillings per day. A great portion of the time for a number of years appears to have been occupied in the framing of excise laws in regard to the sale of spirituous liquors. In several instances, lotteries were authorized, to supply the treasury with money.

In 1749 chains were put up in front of the Old State House, during its session, to prevent the noise made by the passing of coaches, carts, trucks, etc. In 1750 an act was passed for preventing stage plays and other theatrical entertainments, which the preamble alleged "discourage industry and frugality, and increase impiety and immorality." The penalty for letting a house for such a purpose was fixed at twenty pounds. The spectators were fined five pounds.

In 1750, the sole privilege of making spermaceti candles was granted to Benjamin Craib, of Rehoboth, for eleven years, on the ground that he, and no other person, had a knowledge of the art, and he agreeing to instruct five of the inhabitants of the Province therein. In 1753, the sum of fifteen hundred pounds was granted to encourage the manufacture of Hens. This was for the reason that through the decay of business, the number of poor was increased, and the burthen of supporting them lay heavy on many of the towns. It was, therefore, proposed by several benevolent persons to set these poor people to work in the several branches of linen manufacture. To raise the sum necessary, a tax was laid upon coaches, chariots, chairs, chaises, etc., from ten shillings on the coaches down to two shillings on the chairs, the only ones allowed to ride in untaxed vehicles being the Governor, Lieutenant-Governor, President of Harvard College and the clergy.—*Boston Traveller*.

THE ORIGIN OF THE WORD BOGUS.—Incidentally in the case before the Supreme Court yesterday, the Judge took occasion to manifest his abhorrence of the use of slang phrases, in the course of judicial proceedings, by saying that he did not know the meaning of the phrase "bogus transaction," which some one had indecorously uttered during the trial. The word "bogus," we believe, is a corruption of the name of one "Borghese," a very corrupt individual, who, some twenty years ago or more, did tremendous business in the way of supplying the great West, and portions of the Southwest, with a vast amount of counterfeit bills on fictitious banks, which never had any existence outside of the "forgative brain" of him, the said "Borghese." The western people, who are rather rapid in their talk, when excited, soon fell into the habit of shortening the Norman name of Borghese to the more handy one of "Bogus," and the bills and all other bills of like character, were universally styled by them "bogus currency." By an easy and not very unnatural process of transition, to metaphorical tendency, it is now occasionally applied to other fraudulent papers, such as sham mortgages, bills of sale, conveyances, etc. We believe it has not been inserted in any dictionary. At least, we do not find it in either Webster's or Worcester's. Although we do not think that the use of this phrase "bogus transaction" was likely to mislead the jury, the cultivated lovers of pure and undefiled English, will, no doubt, duly appreciate the expression of disapprobation of the Court, at the introduction of a vulgarism in a tribunal of justice.—*Boston Courier*.

A story is related of an honest farmer, who attempting to drive home a bull, got suddenly hoisted over the fence. Recovering himself, he saw the animal on the other side of the rails, sawing the air with his head and neck, and pawing the ground. The good old man looked steadily at him for a moment, and then shaking his fist at him, exclaimed, "darn your apologies, you needn't stand there, you 'tarnal critter, a bowin' and scrapin'—you did it a purpose."

Legal Oaths.

THE solemnity of oath taking seems almost to be forgotten, so common is the administration of the jurat. If a foreigner, for instance a Chinaman, who knew nothing of our forms should for the first time see one administer the oath in a Court of Justice, and should be told what it was, he would certainly think the affair a very strange one. We have in truth made this ceremony so common, and do the business with such railway speed, that the whole matter, if you consider it seems a farce! Old John Brims of Philadelphia, who swore as many folks as any other man of his day, used to do it so rapidly that it was matter of curiosity to witness it. He would commence, "Hold up your hand—you do swear—r-r-r-r, twenty-five cents!" All run over with wonderful rapidity.

We have instituted a system of such frequent oaths, and we do our business under such a high pressure that the very object and effect of the thing is wholly lost, and one might just as well, nine times out of ten, take a mere say so, or a word of honor. We swear when we bring a suit; we swear when we issue attachments; we swear as witnesses; we swear to a bill of costs; we swear as petit jurors, and as grand jurors; we swear to become executor and administrator, and we swear to get clear of it. We enter our vessels by swearing, and we sell them by swearing; we ship our goods by swearing and we receive them the same way; we go into office by swearing, and oftentimes when we go out! All of which tends to impair our reverence for this solemnity, which is neither more nor less than an appeal to Heaven to witness, that we are about to tell the truth in that which we shall now utter.

But the most preposterous of all swearing, is that form of oath which for ages has been administered to our witnesses. We swear a man that he "will tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth." But no sooner does the witness open his mouth to tell what happened, than the lawyer on the opposite side says, "Stop, sir! If the Court please, the witness is going to tell what John said to Bill!" To which the Court will say—"You can't tell that, witness." So the witness finds that he has "run against a snag;" he was sworn to tell the "whole truth," and was just about to do it, but the Court said he shouldn't. The witness is tripped of his story; he had it all arranged in his mind; it was all in his memory, just as it occurred; but now he is confused, and can't remember exactly how it was, and so, we make him lie whether he will or not. We were present in the Court the other day when a witness was asked why the money had not been made on an execution? He commenced by saying that "the plaintiff did not press the defendant because he felt great sympathy for him as innocent bail."—"Stop that!" roared the Court—"Stop that!" cried the counsel; and the witness was actually obliged to apologize for wanting to tell the truth! Now, why was not his answer legal evidence? Because the witness was, according to our factitious rule of evidence, going to tell how the plaintiff felt, instead of how he spoke or what he did. It was the truth—it was really a part of what lawyers call the *res gesta*—the surrounding circumstances—but because the witness said "he felt" a sympathy, instead of "he expressed" a sympathy, therefore it was not evidence! Now, we think the form of the oath should be so altered, as to swear a witness to tell the truth, so far as he may be allowed by the Court. This would guard him somewhat, and tend to prevent the commission of at least involuntary perjury. The great disease, however, is, that the oath is too common, and we have too many of them. We deprive the act of its solemnity and binding influence, and make the whole thing a matter of no importance. How is it in the Custom House? Has not swearing there become a byword, and to say, it is "only a Custom House oath," is to brand the

act as of no binding force and deprive its violation of the character of crime. We have a great many reforms to make yet, before we can rise to a better morality or improved condition of society.—*Times and Talk*.

AMERICAN OVER-DRESS.—A correspondent of the New York Evening Post says that the present rage among the best-bred people at Saratoga is *simplicity in dress*.

In the families of many of the nobility and gentry of England, possessing an annual income which of itself would be an ample fortune, there is a greater economy of dress, and more simplicity in the furnishing of the dwelling, than there is in many of the houses of our citizens, who are barely able to supply the daily wants of their families by the closest attention to their business. A friend of ours, who sojourning, not long since, several months in the vicinity of some of the wealthy landed aristocracy of England, whose ample rent-rolls would have warranted a high style of fashion, was surprised at the simplicity of manners practiced by the servants. Servants are much more numerous than with us, but the ladies made more account of their dress than would be thought here of a dozen. They were generally clothed in good substantial stuffs, and a display of fine clothing was reserved for great occasions. The furniture of the mansions, instead of being turned out of doors every few years for new and more fashionable styles, was the same which the ancestors of the families for several generations had possessed; substantial, and in excellent preservation, but plain, and without any pretension to elegance. Even the carpets on many suits of parlors had been on the floors for fifty years, and were expected to do service for another half century. With us, how different is the state of things! We are wasting an amount of wealth, in this country, on show and fashion, which, rightly applied, would renovate the condition of the whole population of the world.

ORIGIN OF SLAVERY.—Mr. Bancroft, in the first volume of his history of the United States, gives an account of the early traffic of the Europeans in slaves. In the middle ages, the Venitians purchased white men, Christians and others, and sold them to the Saracens in Sicily and Spain. In England the Anglo-Saxon nobility sold their servants as slaves, to foreigners. The Portuguese first imported Negro slaves from Western Africa into Europe in 1482. Spain soon engaged in the traffic, and Negro slaves abounded in some places of that kingdom. After America was discovered, the Indians of Hispaniola were imported into Spain and made slaves. The Spaniards visited the coast of North America and captured thousands of the Indians, whom they transported into slavery in Europe and the West Indies. Columbus, himself, enslaved 500 native Americans, and sent them into Spain, that they might be sold publicly at Seville. The practice of selling North Americans into foreign bondage continued for nearly two centuries. Negro slavery was first introduced into America by Spanish slaveholders, who emigrated with their Negroes. A royal edict of Spain authorized Negro slavery in America in 1502. King Ferdinand, himself, sent from Seville 60 slaves to labor in the mines. In 1511, the direct traffic in slaves between Africa and Hispaniola was enjoined by a royal ordinance. Las Casas, who saw the Indians vanish away like dew before the cruelties of the Spaniards, suggested that the Negroes, who alone could endure severe toil, might be further employed. This was in 1518. The mistaken benevolence of Las Casas extended the slave trade.

THE EARTH'S JOURNEY.—In winter we are nearest the sun, and in summer farthest from it; for the difference in the seasons is not occasioned by the greater or less distance of the earth from the sun, but by the more or less oblique direction of the sun's rays.

Simple Diet.

THE bread and meat question discussed by "Indagator" in a recent number of the *Home Journal*, is one of the deepest importance in the minds of thinkers. Solomon tells us that the glutton shall come to poverty; warns us not to be among riotous eaters of flesh and men given to appetite. Is there no less desperate remedy?

Lord Byron once told a companion, that if some demigod would dictate to us what to eat, it would put an end to half the miseries of our race.

Jonathan Edwards notes the following in his diary: "I find I cannot be convinced in the time of eating, that to eat more would be to exceed the bounds of temperance, though I have had two years' experience of the like, and yet three minutes after I am done, I am convinced of it. But again I overeat, thinking I will be faint if I leave off then; but when I have finished I am convinced again of excess, and so it is from time to time. I have observed that more really seems to be truth when it is according to my inclination, than when otherwise."

Jefferson says that "No man ever repents eating too little."

Sir Isaac Newton often dined on a penny's worth of bread.

Abernethy cured his indigestion and regained his flesh by "going into the country, where he could get good milk and eggs, and living upon three ounces of baked custard taken three times a day, with no drink but ginger-water. On this quantity of food he gained his flesh and uniformly got better."

Marion and his men waxed strong and valiant with no food but sweet potatoes, no drink but water, and no shelter but the sky.

Besides brown bread, the Greek boatmen subsist almost solely on their native fruits, figs, grapes and raisins. They are the most nimble, active and graceful people in the world.

Grant Thorburn attributes his cheerful old age to the fact that he "never eats enough," and thousands of his countrymen are as "Indagator" says, wearing out their bodies, not so much by excess of business or the multiplicity of cares, as by the overwork they crowd upon themselves in digesting unnecessary and surplus food.

THE ELEVENTH HOUR.—There is a class of people who are always late. They are inevitable late to the cars, and they invariably have to jump for it if they are going upon a steamboat jaunt. Every thing with these people is put off until the last moment, and then if the plank is removed they stand a capital chance of jumping overboard in attempting to leap upon the deck after the paddle wheels have commenced revolving. If the boat started an hour later it would be all the same to them for they would just as inevitably be behind time, and come up or down, a little too late to take things cool and comfortable. These late people have to stir their stumps or be left behind when they have steamboats or railroad cars to deal with; but they are the bane of the existence of punctual persons with whom they have dealings, and who have no recourse in the way of tapping a big bell or blowing upon a steam whistle to hurry up the delinquent Eleventh Hour men. One procrastinating man will derange the best laid plans of hundreds, by failing to come up to time, and he wastes hours for others in his disregard for minutes.

ABSENCE OF MIND.—An old gentleman, near Norfolk, Conn., took his wife and the wife of a neighbor to church in his carriage a few Sabbaths ago, and listened to a very impressive sermon. After service he went out, drove home alone, turned out his horse, and then, wondering where his wife was, went to the neighbor's to inquire, when lo! the neighbor informed him that he had come of and forgotten both their wives. He went back after them in a hurry.

THE HAIR TEST OF THE CONSTITUTION.—The state of the hair on the head, says Dr. Holland, tends to elucidate the character of the constitution. If strong and thick, it implies considerable tone or energy of the vital powers. If on the other hand, it is thin, soft or silky—prone to grow in length rather than in strength the animal system is almost invariably weak, and the disposition of the individual is not unfrequently mild, easy and destitute of enterprise, rarely displaying qualities which indicate force of intellect. We may further remark, as the result of careful observation, that the curliness of the hair, not merely in infancy but in after life, is evidence of unusual constitutional vigor, though not necessarily conjoined with a well-developed muscular frame. The vital properties which throw out the external appendage thick and abundant, in harmony with the requirements of the system, are the cause, from the affluence and activity by which they are characterized, of this being not only strong but curly. We have no hesitation in the expression of this opinion; nor will its accuracy be questioned by those who have given attention to the matter.

THE DOOM OF OUR WORLD.—What this change is to be, we dare not even conjecture; but we see in the heavens themselves some traces of destructive elements, and some indications of their own power. The fragments of broken planets—the descent of meteoric stones upon our globe—the wheeling comets welding their loose materials at the solar furnace—the volcanic eruptions of our own satellite—the appearance of new stars and the disappearance of others—are all foreshadows of that impending convulsion to which the system of the world is doomed. Thus placed on a planet which is to be burnt up, and under a heavens which are to pass away; thus treading, as it were, on the cemeteries and dwelling in the mausoleums of former worlds—let us learn the lesson of humility and wisdom, if we have not already been taught it in the school of revelation.—*North British Review*.

THE LAST RESOURCE.—Father, expostulating with his son: "James, I am grieved beyond expression to see the cruel way in which you have been going on lately. I have tried you at everything, and you have failed in everything. I put you in a merchant's office, and you were ignominiously sent about your business. I bought you a commission in the army, and you were very quickly recommended to sell out. In despair, I started you as a coal and wine merchant and general commission agent, but you didn't clear sufficient to pay for your boots and shoes. At last I got you a lucrative post in a Mutual Philanthropic Loan Office, but even they wouldn't have anything to do with you. It's painfully clear, to my mind, James, that you are not fit for anything. Under these circumstances, there is but one thing left now—I must get you a situation under Government!"

EACH one hundred persons in Massachusetts send through the post 1002 letters in a year; each hundred in New York 843 letters; but in North Carolina only 150; and in Tennessee 189—being less than two letters per person on an average per year—while in New York and New England there are from eight to ten to each person.

Rev. Joseph Wolfe, in an eccentric letter recently published, says that a society in London has worked nearly fifty years and spent nearly £500,000, and yet has converted "only two Jews and a half."

"My son, how could you marry an Irish girl?" "Why, father, I am not able to keep two women. If I had married a Yankee girl, I'd been obliged to hire an Irish girl to take care of her."

Roomkeepers establish a snail.

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.